

John L. Kurtzman Chief Counsel Pamela S. Goddard Executive Assistant

ASSISTANTS: CIVIL DIVISION: Kristen Bates Aylward Chief Deborah A. Dawson Assistant Chief David M. Bridenstine Senior Assistant Sharon D. Miller Ross A. Rhodes Katie W. Chawla Gerard T. Yost Hope S. Konovsky

CRIMINAL DIVISION: Dennis E. Barr

Chief Trial Section: Chryssa N. Hartnett Assistant Chief Earle E. Wise, Jr. Senior Assistant Jennifer L. Dave Senior Assistant Jonathan S. Baumoel Lisa J. Barr Renee M. Watson Joseph E. Vance Frederic R. Scott Darci M. Knight Michael S. Bickis Appellate Section: Bonald Mark Caldwell Kathleen O. Tatarsky Amy S. Andrews Patricia C. Melia

JUVENILE DIVISION: Michelle L. Cordova Chief Toni B. Schnellinger Kristen L. Mlinar Jamila M. Harris John A. Burnworth

SECRET SERVICE OFFICER: Jerry H. Thomas

VICTIM-WITNESS DIVISION: Paula M. Smith Director Rebecca A. McGuire Carla F. D'Antonio Jennifer L. Hutcheson Linda K. Desiato Carol A. Mann Amy M. Schuster

OFFICE MANAGER: Patty J. Knepper

Nikki M. Stoffer



JOHN D. FERRERO

STARK COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

Stark County Office Building, 110 Central Plaza South, Suite 510 P.O. Box 20049 Canton, Ohio 44701-0049 330-451-7897 • Fax 330-451-7965

STARK COUNTY AUDITOR

2005 JUL -8 PM 1:35

F | | E |

July 7, 2005

Kim Perez Stark County Auditor 110 Central Plaza S., Suite 220 Canton, OH 44702-1410

Re: Electronic transactions involving county offices Our file no. M101.00257

Dear Mr. Perez:

You have asked whether the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act, R.C. Chap. 1306. ("UETA"), and the provisions for filing electronic records and signatures, R.C. Chap. 304., apply to the county auditor. These provisions were added or amended by 2004 H.B. 204, eff. Nov. 5, 2004, and they provide that a properly authenticated and verified electronic filing "shall have the same force and effect as a filing made on paper" (§304.03(A)). Both the UETA and Chap. 304 apply to "any officer, department, board, commission, agency, court, or other instrumentality of a county." R.C. §\$304.01(B), 1306.16(D)(3). Accordingly, these statutes apply to the county auditor.

You have asked specifically how these provisions may affect "electronic transfers and escrow account payments" for the county auditor. However, H.B. 204 did not did not address financial transactions, or provide any greater authority with respect to the handling of county funds or payment of county expenses. In particular, the use of a "financial transaction device" – i.e. a credit card, debit card, charge card, or prepaid or stored value card – for the acceptance of payments for county expenses is not enlarged or otherwise affected by these amendments. §304.03(B), (C). These financial transactions remain as limited by §301.28 (generally) and §955.013 (dog registration and kennel fees).

If you have any questions concerning this opinion letter, or if you have additional information you feel may be relevant, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Ross Rhodes

Very truly yours,

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney

cc: David Maley